



Bilal v. Driver, 251 F.3d 1346, 1348-49 (11th Cir. 2001) (dismissal under § 1915(e) is mandatory). Several principles guide the Court's inquiry. First is that, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), "[a] claim is frivolous if it is without arguable merit either in law or fact." Bilal v. Driver, 251 F.3d 1346, 1349 (11th Cir. 2001). Another is that, though a *pro se* litigant's allegations are to be construed liberally, the Court "will not serve as *de facto* counsel or rewrite an otherwise deficient pleading in order to sustain an action." Johnson v. Wilbur, 375 F. App'x 960, 963 (11th Cir. 2010) (internal citation and quotation marks omitted).

In the preamble to his eight-page "Petition for Remedies," Plaintiff claims that he is entitled to relief (including \$800,000,000 in damages) pursuant to, *inter alia*, the Civil Rights Acts of 1866 and 1871, Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974, Title IX of the Educational Amendments Act of 1972, the Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. (Doc. 2 at 1 & 7). However, the body of the complaint sets forth no individual counts or claims or factual allegations concerning Labaron Mack, the sole defendant in this action, that would plausibly entitle Plaintiff to relief. Indeed, the only allegation made concerning Mr. Mack is that, at some untold time in the past, Plaintiff told Mr. Mack, who was Uniserv Director with the Alabama Education Association, that he believed that a certain policy of the Selma City School System violated a state statute and had the effect of interfering with Plaintiff's religious observances. (Id. at 3). Without more, there is no arguable merit to Plaintiff's claim against Defendant Mack.

In accordance with the foregoing, the Court finds that Plaintiff's action is frivolous, and it is **ORDERED** that, prior to service of process, this action be **DISMISSED with prejudice**.

Pursuant to Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Judgment will be entered by

separate document.

The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to send a copy of this order to Plaintiff by U.S. Mail.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** this the 13<sup>th</sup> day of **March 2012**.

/s/ Kristi K. DuBose

**KRISTI K. DuBOSE**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**